

An exact bosonization rule for $c = 1$ noncritical string theory

Nobuyuki Ishibashi

*Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan
E-mail: ishibash@het.ph.tsukuba.ac.jp*

Atsushi Yamaguchi

*High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK),
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan
E-mail: ayamagu@post.kek.jp*

ABSTRACT: We construct a string field theory for $c = 1$ noncritical strings using the loop variables as the string field. We show how one can express the nonrelativistic free fermions which describes the theory, in terms of these string fields.

KEYWORDS: D-branes, Matrix Models.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Collective field theory	2
2.1 Loop variables	2
2.2 Relation to Das-Jevicki variables	3
3. Bosonization	4
3.1 Collective field Hilbert space	5
3.2 Bosonization	6
3.3 Description via fermions	7
4. The double scaling limit	8
5. Perturbative calculations	9
5.1 Expansions of the Das-Jevicki variables	10
5.2 Perturbative calculations	11
6. Conclusions and discussions	12

1. Introduction

Noncritical string theories provide useful toy models to study various dynamical aspects of string theory (for reviews, see [1]–[4]). They are exactly solvable and have many features in common with the critical ones.

The $c = 1$ case¹ is the most interesting one in which the two-dimensional target space interpretation is possible. It is solved by the matrix model techniques and can be reduced to a free nonrelativistic fermion system. On the other hand, $c = 1$ noncritical string theory can be described by a string field theory [5]. Although the string field theory looks not so simple, the Hamiltonian can be diagonalized by using the free fermions. Therefore from the point of view of the string field theory, it will be an intriguing problem to find a way to express the fermions in terms of the string fields.

There have been many attempts to give such a "bosonization rule"²[6]–[10]. In [6], in particular, the fermions were expressed approximately by using the perturbative fluctuation of the string field. This is based on a standard bosonization formula for the two-dimensional relativistic fermion. In this paper, we would like to propose an exact bosonization rule.

¹See [2, 3] for earlier reviews. See [4] and references therein for recent developments including [20]–[23].

²"Fermionization rule" may be a more appropriate word to be used here.

We first rewrite the string field theory for $c = 1$ strings using the loop variables. The Hamiltonian consists of the joining-splitting type terms, whose forms are quite similar to those in the string field theory for critical strings. Then we will give a bosonization rule to express the fermions in terms of these string fields. The bosonization rule we propose is exact even before the continuum limit is taken.

Our bosonization rule is a generalization of the D-instanton operator proposed in [11]. In [11], the D-instanton operator was used to investigate the functional form of the chemical potential of D-instantons [11]–[19]. Therefore our bosonization rule will be useful in understanding the nature of D-branes in $c = 1$ string theory. We will perform some perturbative calculations using this rule.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we describe a string field theory for $c = 1$ strings in terms of the loop variables and show that it is equivalent to the Das-Jevicki’s formulation [5]. In section 3, we give the bosonization rule. In section 4, we take the double scaling limit and describe the string field theory and the bosonization rule in the continuum limit. In section 5, we describe how one can perform perturbative calculations using the string field theory and the bosonization rule. Section 6 is devoted to discussions.

2. Collective field theory

$c = 1$ noncritical string theory can be described by the matrix quantum mechanics:

$$\int dM \exp \left[i\beta \int dt \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{M}^2 - U(M) \right) \right]. \quad (2.1)$$

Here $M(t)$ is an $N \times N$ hermitian matrix. We can take the double scaling limit in which $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ with an appropriate matrix potential $U(M)$.³ The matrix Hamiltonian is derived from the action as

$$H = \operatorname{Tr} \left[-\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial M} \right)^2 + U(M) \right]. \quad (2.2)$$

In order to study the $c = 1$ theory, we are mainly interested in the so-called singlet sector. Then what is relevant is the eigenvalues $\zeta_i (i = 1, \dots, N)$ of the matrix M and the wave function $\Psi(\vec{\zeta})$ is given as a function of these eigenvalues. The Hamiltonian is expressed as a differential operator in terms of ζ_i as

$$\sum_i \left[-\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \Delta(\vec{\zeta})^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i} \right)^2 \Delta(\vec{\zeta}) + U(\zeta_i) \right], \quad (2.3)$$

where $\Delta(\vec{\zeta})$ is the Van-der-Monde determinant.

2.1 Loop variables

Now we would like to construct the collective field theory for this matrix quantum mechanics.⁴ The basic idea of the collective field theory is to express the wave function of the

³This procedure involves the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ implicitly.

⁴A similar construction was considered in [24].

system as a functional of the loop variable

$$\varphi(l) \equiv \text{Tr} e^{\text{IM}}. \quad (2.4)$$

In the matrix model, this quantity corresponds to a boundary on the worldsheet with length l . Thus we will consider this operator for $l > 0$. The wave function $\Psi(\vec{\zeta})$ is now expressed as a functional $\Psi[\varphi]$. As we will see, any function $\Psi(\vec{\zeta})$ can be expressed as such a functional, but the space of such functionals are bigger than the Hilbert space of the matrix quantum mechanics. We define the operator $\hat{\varphi}(l), \hat{\bar{\varphi}}(l)$ to be the ones which act as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}(l)\Psi[\varphi] &= \varphi(l)\Psi[\varphi], \\ \hat{\bar{\varphi}}(l)\Psi[\varphi] &= l \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi(l)} \Psi[\varphi], \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

on the wave function $\Psi[\varphi]$. In the following, we omit the hats to represent the operators. $\varphi(l)$ and $\bar{\varphi}(l)$ satisfy

$$[\bar{\varphi}(l), \varphi(l')] = l\delta(l - l'). \quad (2.6)$$

It is easy to see that the Hamiltonian eq. (2.2) is expressed in terms of these variables as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= -\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \int dl_1 dl_2 [\varphi(l_1)\varphi(l_2)\bar{\varphi}(l_1 + l_2) + \varphi(l_1 + l_2)\bar{\varphi}(l_1)\bar{\varphi}(l_2)] \\ &\quad + \int dl \varphi(l) U(-\partial_l)\delta(l). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

2.2 Relation to Das-Jevicki variables

This collective field theory is of course equivalent to the Das-Jevicki theory. In order to rewrite the Hamiltonian into the Das-Jevicki form, we express the field $\varphi(l)$ in terms of the density of the matrix eigenvalues $\rho(\zeta)$ as

$$\varphi(l) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta e^{\zeta l} \rho(\zeta). \quad (2.8)$$

We assume that $\rho(\zeta)$ possesses a compact support on the real axis. The Laplace transform of $\varphi(l)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\zeta) &\equiv \int_0^{\infty} dl e^{-\zeta l} \varphi(l), \\ &= \int d\zeta' \frac{\rho(\zeta')}{\zeta - \zeta'}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and for $\bar{\varphi}$ we define

$$\bar{\varphi}(-\zeta) \equiv \int_0^{\infty} dl e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}(l). \quad (2.10)$$

Then it is straightforward to show that the relation between our variables and the Das-Jevicki variables ρ, π is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\zeta \pm i\delta) + \bar{\varphi}(-\zeta) &= i\partial_{\zeta} \pi(\zeta) \mp i\pi\rho(\zeta) \\ &\equiv ip_{\mp}(\zeta), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where ζ is real and $\delta > 0$ is very small. The commutation relation (2.6) implies that $\pi(\zeta)$ is the canonical conjugate of $\rho(\zeta)$. Using this relation, we can rewrite the Hamiltonian (2.7) as

$$H = \int \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{6\beta^2} (p_+^3 - p_-^3) + U(\zeta)(p_+ - p_-) \right], \quad (2.12)$$

which is exactly the Das-Jevicki Hamiltonian.

Since ρ and π are defined to be hermitian operators, the Hamiltonian is hermitian. From eqs. (2.11), we can obtain the hermitian conjugates of φ and $\bar{\varphi}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\dagger(l) &= \varphi(l), \\ \int dl e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}^\dagger(l) &= - \left[\int dl e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}(l) + 2\text{Re} \int dl e^{-\zeta l} \varphi(l) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Before closing this subsection, one comment is in order. The Hamiltonian in eq. (2.12) is actually the classical part of the Das-Jevicki Hamiltonian. In Das-Jevicki's formulation [5], there exist higher order terms, which we are not able to reproduce. We will come back to this point later.

3. Bosonization

The string field formulation using the loop variables is just another expression of the familiar Das-Jevicki formalism. However, the loop variables are convenient for guessing the form of the bosonization formula. The algebra of the loop variables is quite analogous to that of the bosonic oscillators. Indeed if we compare $\varphi(l)$ and $\bar{\varphi}(l)$ to α_n and α_{-n} with l , ($l > 0$) corresponding to $n > 0$, the commutation relation eq. (2.6) should correspond to

$$[\alpha_n, \alpha_{-m}] = n\delta_{n,m}. \quad (3.1)$$

Using this analogy, one can guess how one can construct fermions from the bosonic operators φ and $\bar{\varphi}$. From the usual bosonic oscillators, one can construct a fermionic operator roughly as

$$: \exp \left(- \sum_n \frac{1}{n} \alpha_n z^{-n} \right) :. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore it is conceivable that if we construct something like

$$\exp \left[- \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(\zeta \pm i\delta)l} \varphi(l) \right] \exp \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}(l) \right], \quad (3.3)$$

it will behave as a fermionic operator.

However things are not so straightforward. For one thing, zero modes play important roles in the usual bosonization and we need to find a substitute for those in the collective field theory. Secondly, the above analogy is not correct as to the hermiticity of the operators and it may cause trouble in defining the fermion conjugate to the one above. What we will show is that the above guess is essentially correct and we can construct fermionic operators in the Hilbert space of the collective field.

3.1 Collective field Hilbert space

Before constructing the fermionic operators, we will construct the Hilbert space of the collective field φ so that it can describe the matrix quantum mechanics. Let ${}_{\varphi}\langle 0|$ be the eigenstate of $\varphi(l)$ with the eigenvalue 0, i.e.

$${}_{\varphi}\langle 0|\varphi(l) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

For $\vec{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N)$, we define

$$\langle \vec{\zeta} | \equiv {}_{\varphi}\langle 0 | \exp \left[\sum_i \int \frac{dl}{l} e^{\zeta_i l} \bar{\varphi}(l) \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

$\langle \vec{\zeta} |$ is an eigenstate of $\varphi(l)$ and

$$\langle \vec{\zeta} | \varphi(l) = \langle \vec{\zeta} | \sum_i e^{\zeta_i l}. \quad (3.6)$$

Now for a state $|\Psi\rangle$, we define the wave function $\Psi(\vec{\zeta})$ as

$$\Psi(\vec{\zeta}) = \langle \vec{\zeta} | \Psi \rangle, \quad (3.7)$$

and identify $\Psi(\vec{\zeta})$ with the wave function for the matrix eigenvalues. In such a representation, using eqs. (2.7)(3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{\zeta} | H | \Psi \rangle &= \langle \vec{\zeta} | \left[\int dl_1 dl_2 \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \sum_i e^{\zeta_i(l_1+l_2)} \bar{\varphi}(l_1) \bar{\varphi}(l_2) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \sum_{i,j} e^{\zeta_i l_1 + \zeta_j l_2} \bar{\varphi}(l_1 + l_2) \right\} + \sum_i U(\zeta_i) \right] | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \left[-\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \sum_i \partial_{\zeta_i}^2 - \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\partial_{\zeta_i} - \partial_{\zeta_j}}{\zeta_i - \zeta_j} + \sum_i U(\zeta_i) \right] \langle \vec{\zeta} | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \left[-\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \sum_i \Delta(\vec{\zeta})^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i} \right)^2 \Delta(\vec{\zeta}) + \sum_i U(\zeta_i) \right] \langle \vec{\zeta} | \Psi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, the collective field Hamiltonian (2.7) coincides with the Hamiltonian (2.3) for the matrix eigenvalues.

The state $|\Psi\rangle$ should be in the form

$$|\Psi\rangle = F[\varphi] |0\rangle_{\bar{\varphi}}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $|0\rangle_{\bar{\varphi}}$ is the eigenstate of $\bar{\varphi}$ with the eigenvalue 0. Assuming that ${}_{\varphi}\langle 0|0\rangle_{\bar{\varphi}} = 1$, we obtain

$$\langle \vec{\zeta} | \Psi \rangle = F \left[\sum_i e^{\zeta_i l} \right], \quad (3.10)$$

in which form any symmetric function of ζ_i can be represented. This is the basic idea on which the collective field theory is constructed.

3.2 Bosonization

Let us define

$$\mathcal{O}^\pm(\zeta) = \exp \left[- \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(\zeta \pm i\delta)l} \varphi(l) \right] \exp \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}(l) \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

Here, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ are small numbers and we take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\delta \rightarrow 0$ eventually. ϵ is necessary to regularize the divergence at $l \sim 0$ in the integral. We consider ζ to be on the real axis and the integral $\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(\zeta \pm i\delta)l} \varphi(l)$ is supposed to have a cut on the real axis. δ specifies how to avoid the cut. We eventually consider these operators between the bra $\varphi \langle 0|$ and the ket $|0\rangle_{\bar{\varphi}}$. Thus we express \mathcal{O} in the way that all the φ 's come on the left of $\bar{\varphi}$'s.

The hermitian conjugate of \mathcal{O}^\pm can be given in the above-mentioned operator ordering as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{O}^\pm)^\dagger(\zeta) &= \exp \left[- \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}(l) + 2\text{Re} \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-\zeta l} \varphi(l) \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[- \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(\zeta \mp i\delta)l} \varphi(l) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(\zeta \pm i\delta)l} \varphi(l) \right] \exp \left[- \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}(l) \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} \left(e^{\pm i\delta l} - e^{\mp i\delta l} \right) \right] \\ &= \pm i \exp \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(\zeta \pm i\delta)l} \varphi(l) \right] \exp \left[- \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}(l) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Therefore the hermitian conjugate of \mathcal{O}^\pm can be given essentially by flipping the sign of the exponent, as in the usual bosonization formula.

We would like to use \mathcal{O}^\pm and $(\mathcal{O}^\pm)^\dagger$ to define fermionic operators satisfying the canonical commutation relations. In order to do so, we need to calculate the commutation relations for these operators. Let us consider the product of operators $\mathcal{O}^\pm(\zeta)\mathcal{O}^\pm(\z')$ for example. In order to define such a product, we first define it in the case $\zeta' > \zeta$, and deal with the other case by the analytic continuation. This procedure should be considered as a variant of the radial ordering in the usual case. Then we can show the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}^\pm(\zeta)\mathcal{O}^\pm(\zeta') &= \epsilon(\zeta' - \zeta \pm i\delta) \exp \left[- \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} \left(e^{-(\zeta \pm i\delta)l} + e^{-(\zeta' \pm i\delta)l} \right) \varphi(l) \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} \left(e^{\zeta l} + e^{\zeta' l} \right) \bar{\varphi}(l) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Here we have used the following identity,

$$\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-\zeta l} \sim -\ln(\epsilon\zeta). \quad (3.14)$$

We can prove similar formulas for other products and show

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\mathcal{O}^\pm(\zeta), (\mathcal{O}^\pm)^\dagger(\zeta')\} &= \frac{2\pi}{\epsilon} \delta(\zeta - \zeta') \\
 \{\mathcal{O}^\pm(\zeta), (\mathcal{O}^\mp)^\dagger(\zeta')\} &= 0 \\
 \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}\} &= 0 \\
 \{\mathcal{O}^\dagger, \mathcal{O}^\dagger\} &= 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.15}$$

Now let us define the fermionic operators

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(\zeta) &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{4\pi}} [\mathcal{O}^+ + \mathcal{O}^-](\zeta) \\
 \psi^\dagger(\zeta) &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{4\pi}} [(\mathcal{O}^+)^\dagger + (\mathcal{O}^-)^\dagger](\zeta)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.16}$$

which satisfy the canonical anti-commutation relation:

$$\{\psi(\zeta), \psi^\dagger(\zeta')\} = \delta(\zeta - \zeta').
 \tag{3.17}$$

ψ^\dagger can be considered as the creation operator and since

$${}_\varphi\langle 0 | \psi^\dagger(\zeta) = 0,
 \tag{3.18}$$

${}_\varphi\langle 0 |$ can be considered as the vacuum.

We can define another pair of canonical fermions as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\zeta) &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{4\pi}} [\mathcal{O}^+ - \mathcal{O}^-](\zeta) \\
 \tilde{\psi}(\zeta) &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{4\pi}} [(\mathcal{O}^+)^\dagger - (\mathcal{O}^-)^\dagger](\zeta).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.19}$$

They satisfy

$$\{\tilde{\psi}(\zeta), \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\zeta')\} = \delta(\zeta - \zeta')
 \tag{3.20}$$

and

$${}_\varphi\langle 0 | \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\zeta) = 0,
 \tag{3.21}$$

and anti-commute with ψ and ψ^\dagger . Thus we have constructed fermions ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ which acts on the Hilbert space of collective field theory.

3.3 Description via fermions

Using the fermionic operator $\psi(\zeta)$, we can express the inner product of the wave function $\Psi(\vec{\zeta})$ in the framework of the collective field theory. Noting that

$${}_\varphi\langle 0 | \psi(\zeta_1) \cdots \psi(\zeta_N) = \langle \vec{\zeta} | \Delta(\vec{\zeta}) \epsilon^{\frac{N^2}{2}} (\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}},
 \tag{3.22}$$

we can express the fermion wave function defined by $\Psi_{\text{fermion}}(\vec{\zeta}) \equiv \Delta(\vec{\zeta}) \Psi(\vec{\zeta})$ as

$$\Psi_{\text{fermion}}(\vec{\zeta}) = \epsilon^{-\frac{N^2}{2}} \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} {}_\varphi\langle 0 | \psi(\zeta_1) \cdots \psi(\zeta_N) | \Psi \rangle.
 \tag{3.23}$$

This relation is exactly the one between the second quantized fermion operator and the many body wave function. The partition function of the matrix quantum mechanics is expressed as

$$Z \propto \int \prod_i d\zeta_i \langle \Psi | \psi^\dagger(\zeta_N) \cdots \psi^\dagger(\zeta_1) | 0 \rangle_\varphi \langle 0 | \psi(\zeta_1) \cdots \psi(\zeta_N) e^{-iHT} | \Psi \rangle, \quad (3.24)$$

where the state $|\Psi\rangle$ corresponds to the boundary condition. For a large T , $Z \sim e^{-iE_0T}$ and E_0 can be identified with the free energy of the string theory. Since one can show

$$\begin{aligned} [H, \psi(\zeta)] &= - \left(-\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \partial_\zeta^2 + U(\zeta) \right) \psi(\zeta), \\ [H, \psi^\dagger(\zeta)] &= \left(-\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \partial_\zeta^2 + U(\zeta) \right) \psi^\dagger(\zeta), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

and $\langle 0 | H = 0$, E_0 is the lowest energy eigenvalue of the N fermion state. Thus the fermion operator $\psi(\zeta)$ is exactly the nonrelativistic free fermion which describes the matrix quantum mechanics.

The other fermions $\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\psi}^\dagger$ correspond to matrix eigenvalues with "negative norm". Indeed

$$\langle 0 | \tilde{\psi}(\zeta_1) \cdots \tilde{\psi}(\zeta_N) \varphi(l) = \langle 0 | \tilde{\psi}(\zeta_1) \cdots \tilde{\psi}(\zeta_N) \left(-\sum_i e^{\zeta_i l} \right). \quad (3.26)$$

Such eigenvalues appear in the supermatrix model. Therefore the collective field theory can express the super matrix model. It is natural for the collective field Hilbert space to include such modes, because it includes $\pi(\zeta)$ conjugate to $\rho(\zeta)$, which makes it impossible for $\rho(\zeta)$ to take only nonnegative values. Moreover since

$$\begin{aligned} [H, \tilde{\psi}(\zeta)] &= \left(-\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \partial_\zeta^2 + U(\zeta) \right) \tilde{\psi}(\zeta), \\ [H, \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\zeta)] &= - \left(-\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \partial_\zeta^2 + U(\zeta) \right) \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\zeta), \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

if we take the potential $U(\zeta)$ to be bounded below, the fermion $\tilde{\psi}^\dagger$ generate the energy spectrum not bounded below.

4. The double scaling limit

So far we have been studying the matrix quantum mechanics without taking the continuum limit. Now let us take the double scaling limit of the bosonization rule given above. Putting $U(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2$, we take the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. The continuum string field should be defined as

$$\varphi_c(l) \equiv \varphi(\sqrt{\beta}l). \quad (4.1)$$

We use the variable $y = \sqrt{\beta}\zeta$ to describe the continuum variables. The Hamiltonian in the continuum limit is given as $H_c \equiv \beta H$. Introducing the chemical potential μ , we get the

continuum Hamiltonian as

$$H_c = -\frac{1}{2} \int dl_1 dl_2 [\varphi_c(l_1)\varphi_c(l_2)\bar{\varphi}_c(l_1+l_2) + \varphi_c(l_1+l_2)\bar{\varphi}_c(l_1)\bar{\varphi}_c(l_2)] + \int dl \varphi_c(l) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\delta''(l) + \mu\delta(l) \right). \quad (4.2)$$

\mathcal{O}^\pm can be rewritten in terms of the continuum variables as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}^\pm(\zeta) &= \exp \left[-\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(\zeta \pm i\delta)l} \varphi(l) \right] \exp \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}(l) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[-\int_{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\beta}}}^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(y \pm i\sqrt{\beta}\delta)l} \varphi_c(l) \right] \exp \left[\int_{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\beta}}}^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{yl} \bar{\varphi}_c(l) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Thus by replacing ζ by y and rescaling ϵ and δ by $\sqrt{\beta}$, all the bosonization rules are the same as the ones given in the previous section, and ψ, ψ^\dagger are defined accordingly. We will omit the subscript c and forget that ϵ and δ are rescaled by $\sqrt{\beta}$ in the following. The continuum Hamiltonian is given in terms of the fermions as

$$\int dy \left[\psi^\dagger(y) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_y^2 - \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \mu \right) \psi(y) - \left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_y^2 - \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \mu \right) \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(y)\tilde{\psi}(y) \right]. \quad (4.4)$$

The continuum limit of the Das-Jevicki variables are defined in the same way. From the relation (2.8), we can get

$$\varphi(y) = \int dy' \frac{\rho(y')}{y-y'}, \quad (4.5)$$

and the relation (2.11) implies

$$\varphi(y \pm i\delta) + \bar{\varphi}(-y) = i\partial_y \pi(y) \mp i\pi\rho(y), \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(y) &\equiv \int_0^\infty dl e^{-yl} \bar{\varphi}(l), \\ \bar{\varphi}(-y) &\equiv \int_0^\infty dl e^{yl} \bar{\varphi}(l), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

which gives the relation between the variables in the continuum limit. The collective Hamiltonian in the Das-Jevicki form becomes

$$H_c = \int dy \left[-\frac{1}{2}(y^2 - 2\mu)\rho(y) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_y \pi(y))^2 \rho(y) + \frac{\pi^2}{6}\rho^3(y) \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

5. Perturbative calculations

Since we have the exact expression for the fermion variables in terms of the string field, in principle, we can calculate the amplitudes involving fermions perturbatively using the string field. In this section, we perform some calculations for a simple example, and point out a subtlety involved in such calculations.

5.1 Expansions of the Das-Jevicki variables

In order to consider the theory around the vacuum, Das-Jevicki variables are more convenient than $\varphi, \bar{\varphi}$. From the continuum Hamiltonian (4.8), we can see that the following distribution yields a static vacuum configuration:

$$\rho_0(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{y^2 - 2\mu} & \text{for } y \leq -\sqrt{2\mu} \\ 0 & \text{for } y > -\sqrt{2\mu} \end{cases}. \quad (5.1)$$

The collective field theory around this vacuum is most conveniently described by introducing the variable τ which satisfies

$$y = -\sqrt{2\mu} \cosh \tau, \quad (5.2)$$

and the field $\phi(\tau)$ and its canonical conjugate $\pi_\phi(\tau)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{y^2 - 2\mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\partial_\tau \phi(\tau)}{\sqrt{y^2 - 2\mu}} \\ \partial_y \pi(y) &= \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\pi_\phi(\tau)}{\sqrt{y^2 - 2\mu}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} ip_{\mp}(y) &\equiv i\partial_y \pi(y) \mp i\pi\rho(y) \\ &= i \left[\mp \sqrt{y^2 - 2\mu} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{y^2 - 2\mu}} (\pi_\phi(\tau) \mp \partial_\tau \phi(\tau)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Using these variables, the Hamiltonian for the fluctuation becomes

$$H_c = \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\tau \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\pi_\phi)^2 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\mu \sinh^2 \tau} (\pi_\phi)^2 \partial_\tau \phi + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{12\mu \sinh^2 \tau} (\partial_\tau \phi)^3 \right]. \quad (5.5)$$

Now the Hamiltonian becomes the one for the massless boson ϕ in the two dimensional spacetime (t, τ) with nonrelativistic interactions. One can expand the operators ϕ and π_ϕ as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dE}{\sqrt{\pi E}} \left(b(E) + b^\dagger(E) \right) \sin(E\tau), \\ \pi_\phi(\tau) &= i \int_0^\infty dE \sqrt{\frac{E}{\pi}} \left(b^\dagger(E) - b(E) \right) \sin(E\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where the boundary conditions for ϕ and π_ϕ are chosen as [5]

$$\phi(\tau)|_{\tau=0} = \pi_\phi(\tau)|_{\tau=0} = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

b and b^\dagger are hermitian conjugate to each other and satisfies

$$[b(E), b^\dagger(E')] = \delta(E - E'). \quad (5.8)$$

The free part of the Hamiltonian (5.5) becomes

$$\int_0^\infty dE E b^\dagger(E) b(E). \quad (5.9)$$

5.2 Perturbative calculations

Substituting eq. (5.6) into eq. (5.4) and using eq. (4.6), we can expand the fields $\varphi, \bar{\varphi}$ in terms of the oscillators $b(E), b^\dagger(E)$. In principle it is possible to perform perturbative calculations using these oscillators.

Here let us study the fermionic operators taking the one loop effects into account. Using eq. (3.11), it is straightforward to see that for $y \leq -\sqrt{2\mu}$ the fermions can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{O}^\pm(y) &= \exp \left[\int_\Lambda^{y \pm i\delta} dy' \varphi(y') \right] \exp \left[\int_{-\Lambda}^y dy' \bar{\varphi}(-y') \right] \\
 &= \exp \left(\mp i \int_{-\sqrt{2\mu}}^y dy' \sqrt{y'^2 - 2\mu} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \exp \left[- \int_0^\infty dE \left(b(E) + b^\dagger(E) \right) \frac{\cos E(\tau \pm \pi i) - \cos E\lambda}{\sqrt{E} \sinh \pi E} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \exp \left[\int_0^\infty dE \left(e^{\pi E} b(E) + e^{-\pi E} b^\dagger(E) \right) \frac{\cos E\tau - \cos E\lambda}{\sqrt{E} \sinh \pi E} \right]. \quad (5.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

We have introduced $\Lambda \sim \epsilon^{-1}$ to regularize the integral in place of ϵ ,⁵ and we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 y &= -\sqrt{2\mu} \cosh \tau, \\
 \Lambda &= \sqrt{2\mu} \cosh \lambda. \quad (5.11)
 \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting eq. (5.10) in the normal ordered form with respect to b, b^\dagger , we can take the one-loop effects into account. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{O}^\pm(y) &= C \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\ln \Lambda)^{-\frac{3}{4}} (y^2 - 2\mu)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp \left(\mp i \int_{-\sqrt{2\mu}}^y dy' \sqrt{y'^2 - 2\mu} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \exp \left(- \int_0^\infty \frac{dE}{\sqrt{E}} b^\dagger(E) e^{\pm i E \tau} \right) \exp \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{dE}{\sqrt{E}} b(E) e^{\mp i E \tau} \right), \quad (5.12)
 \end{aligned}$$

where C denotes a numerical constant. This form of the fermionic operator is quite like those found in [6]. Especially we get the WKB wave function precisely as a factor. However it is with a divergent constant.

Actually such a calculation is subtle for $y \leq -\sqrt{2\mu}$. In order to deal with the matrix model, we should restrict ourselves to the states in the string field Hilbert space, which are annihilated by $\tilde{\psi}^\dagger$. Suppose we are given a coherent state $\langle \varphi_0 |$ which satisfy

$$\langle \varphi_0 | \varphi(l) = \langle \varphi_0 | \varphi_0(l), \quad (5.13)$$

let us examine what conditions $\langle \varphi_0 |$ should satisfy in order to be a state annihilated by $\tilde{\psi}^\dagger$. We assume that $\varphi_0(l)$ can be written as

$$\varphi_0(l) = \int d\zeta e^{\zeta l} \rho_0(\zeta), \quad (5.14)$$

⁵We have assumed that Λ is much bigger than any y such that $\rho_0(y) \neq 0$. This is justified because our bosonization rule is valid even before the continuum limit.

with $\rho_0(\zeta) \geq 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \varphi_0 | \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\zeta) &= \langle \varphi_0 | \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{4\pi}} [\mathcal{O}^+ - \mathcal{O}^-](\zeta) \\
 &\propto \langle \varphi_0 | \left\{ \exp \left[- \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(\zeta+i\delta)l} \varphi(l) \right] - \exp \left[- \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-(\zeta-i\delta)l} \varphi(l) \right] \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \exp \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{\zeta l} \bar{\varphi}(l) \right] \\
 &\propto \langle \varphi_0 | \left\{ \exp \left[\int d\zeta' \ln [\epsilon(\zeta - \zeta' + i\delta)] \rho_0(\zeta') \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \exp \left[\int d\zeta' \ln [\epsilon(\zeta - \zeta' - i\delta)] \rho_0(\zeta') \right] \right\}, \tag{5.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \varphi_0 | \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\zeta) = 0$ when the two terms in the last line cancel with each other. When $\rho_0(\zeta) \geq 0$, we expect that the difference between the two comes from the difference in the imaginary parts of the exponents which is

$$2\pi i \int^\zeta d\zeta' \rho_0(\zeta'). \tag{5.16}$$

Therefore if and only if $\int^\zeta d\zeta' \rho_0(\zeta')$ is an integer, $\langle \varphi_0 | \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\zeta) = 0$. This is automatically satisfied before the continuum limit is taken, but it is a very subtle condition in the continuum limit. In the vacuum configuration, eigenvalues are distributed in the region $y \leq -\sqrt{2\mu}$. Hence for $y \leq -\sqrt{2\mu}$, this condition is very subtle. The divergences in eq. (5.12) are the signs of this subtlety.

The situation is quite similar to that in the $c = 0$ [17] and $c < 1$ [14] case. In those cases, we encounter divergences similar to the ones in eq. (5.12). However, in calculating physical quantities such as the chemical potential of instantons, they are cancelled by divergences from other factors and we eventually obtain finite results. We expect that similar things happen in $c = 1$ case.

6. Conclusions and discussions

In this paper, we construct a string field theory for $c = 1$ noncritical string theory, using the loop variables. We give an exact bosonization rule, by which we can express the nonrelativistic free fermions in terms of the string field. The description by the string field involves fermions with negative norm and energy besides the usual fermion. This is inevitable because we should introduce a canonical conjugate to the eigenvalue distribution function ρ in the string field theory. The existence of such extra fermions causes subtleties in the perturbative calculations.

We argue that the Hamiltonian written in terms of the loop variables is equivalent to the classical part of Das-Jevicki's Hamiltonian. Higher order terms are necessary for Das-Jevicki's Hamiltonian to reproduce the results of the matrix quantum mechanics. On the other hand, the nonrelativistic fermion formulation of the matrix quantum mechanics is reproduced from the string field theory. It is conceivable that our prescription of normal ordering of the fermionic operators has something to do with this discrepancy. It is an

important and intriguing issue to clarify this point by comparing our results with the perturbative calculations in [25, 26], for example. We leave it as a future problem.

In [10], nonperturbative effects in $c = 1$ string theory were investigated using another bosonization rule. The authors in [10] showed that there is a nonperturbative correction on the zero mode of bosonic fields. In our rule, on the other hand, the bosons $\phi(\tau)$ and $\pi_\phi(\tau)$ cannot have any zero mode, by construction, due to the boundary condition (5.7). This suggests that their approach and ours will give different results for nonperturbative effects.

Since the fermions in $c = 1$ strings can be considered as D-branes, our results will be useful in understanding how one should consider D-branes in the context of closed string field theory and clarify the relation between string theory and the matrix models. The Hamiltonian for our string field involves joining-splitting interaction which is similar to that of the light-cone gauge string field theory for critical strings. Therefore we may be able to use our approach in the critical string theory to investigate the above mentioned issues.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank H. Kawai and I.K. Kostov for discussions.

References

- [1] P. Di Francesco, P.H. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, *2-D Gravity and random matrices*, *Phys. Rept.* **254** (1995) 1 [[hep-th/9306153](#)].
- [2] P.H. Ginsparg and G.W. Moore, *Lectures on 2-D gravity and 2-D string theory*, [hep-th/9304011](#).
- [3] I.R. Klebanov, *String theory in two-dimensions*, [hep-th/9108019](#).
- [4] E.J. Martinec, *Matrix models and 2D string theory*, [hep-th/0410136](#).
- [5] S.R. Das and A. Jevicki, *String field theory and physical interpretation of $D = 1$ strings*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 5** (1990) 1639.
- [6] D.J. Gross and I.R. Klebanov, *Fermionic string field theory of $c = 1$ two- dimensional quantum gravity*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 352** (1991) 671.
- [7] A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S.R. Wadia, *Nonrelativistic fermions, coadjoint orbits of W_∞ and string field theory at $c = 1$* , *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 7** (1992) 3129 [[hep-th/9207011](#)].
- [8] A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S.R. Wadia, *W_∞ coherent states and path integral derivation of bosonization of nonrelativistic fermions in one-dimension*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 8** (1993) 3557 [[hep-th/9309028](#)].
- [9] A. Dhar, G. Mandal and N.V. Suryanarayana, *Exact operator bosonization of finite number of fermions in one space dimension*, *JHEP* **01** (2006) 118 [[hep-th/0509164](#)].
- [10] S.Y. Alexandrov and I.K. Kostov, *Time-dependent backgrounds of 2D string theory: Non-perturbative effects*, *JHEP* **02** (2005) 023 [[hep-th/0412223](#)].

- [11] M. Hanada, M. Hayakawa, N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, T. Kuroki, Y. Matsuo and T. Tada, *Loops versus matrices: The nonperturbative aspects of noncritical string*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **112** (2004) 131 [[hep-th/0405076](#)].
- [12] H. Kawai, T. Kuroki and Y. Matsuo, *Universality of nonperturbative effect in type 0 string theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 711** (2005) 253 [[hep-th/0412004](#)].
- [13] A. Sato and A. Tsuchiya, *ZZ brane amplitudes from matrix models*, *JHEP* **02** (2005) 032 [[hep-th/0412201](#)].
- [14] N. Ishibashi, T. Kuroki and A. Yamaguchi, *Universality of nonperturbative effects in $c < 1$ noncritical string theory*, *JHEP* **09** (2005) 043 [[hep-th/0507263](#)].
- [15] Y. Matsuo, *Nonperturbative effect in $c = 1$ noncritical string theory and Penner model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 740** (2006) 222 [[hep-th/0512176](#)].
- [16] R. de Mello Koch, A. Jevicki and J.P. Rodrigues, *Instantons in $c = 0$ CSFT*, *JHEP* **04** (2005) 011 [[hep-th/0412319](#)].
- [17] N. Ishibashi and A. Yamaguchi, *On the chemical potential of D-instantons in $c = 0$ noncritical string theory*, *JHEP* **06** (2005) 082 [[hep-th/0503199](#)].
- [18] M. Fukuma, H. Irie and S. Seki, *Comments on the D-instanton calculus in $(p, p + 1)$ minimal string theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 728** (2005) 67 [[hep-th/0505253](#)].
- [19] T. Kuroki and F. Sugino, *T duality of the Zamolodchikov-Zamolodchikov brane*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 75** (2007) 044008 [[hep-th/0612042](#)].
- [20] J. McGreevy and H.L. Verlinde, *Strings from tachyons: The $c = 1$ matrix reloaded*, *JHEP* **12** (2003) 054 [[hep-th/0304224](#)].
- [21] I.R. Klebanov, J.M. Maldacena and N. Seiberg, *D-brane decay in two-dimensional string theory*, *JHEP* **07** (2003) 045 [[hep-th/0305159](#)].
- [22] M.R. Douglas, I.R. Klebanov, D. Kutasov, J.M. Maldacena, E. Martinec and N. Seiberg, *A new hat for the $c = 1$ matrix model*, [hep-th/0307195](#).
- [23] T. Takayanagi and N. Toumbas, *A matrix model dual of type 0B string theory in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **07** (2003) 064 [[hep-th/0307083](#)].
- [24] A. Jevicki, *Nonperturbative collective field theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 376** (1992) 75.
- [25] K. Demeterfi, A. Jevicki and J.P. Rodrigues, *Scattering amplitudes and loop corrections in collective string field theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 362** (1991) 173.
- [26] K. Demeterfi, A. Jevicki and J.P. Rodrigues, *Scattering amplitudes and loop corrections in collective string field theory. 2*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 365** (1991) 499.